## Current structure



Total: 167

## Proposed ‘Back-Office’ structure



|  | $2006 / 7$ | $2007 / 8$ | $2008 / 9$ | $2009 / 10$ | $2010 / 11$ | $2011 / 12$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Barnet | 94.6 | 95.7 | 96.3 | 96.3 | 95.6 | 96 |
| Southwark | 92.3 | 92.5 | 91.7 | 91.8 | 92.7 | 94.2 |
| Westminster | 95.2 | 95.4 | 95.6 | 95.8 | 96 |  |
| Hammersmith | 96.1 | 96.2 | 95.6 | 95.6 | 95.5 |  |
| Bexley | 95.5 | 95.7 | 96 | 95.9 | 96 | 96.1 |



|  | $2007 / 8$ | $2008 / 9$ | $2009 / 10$ | $2010 / 11$ | avg \% change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Barnet | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0 | -0.7 | 0.25 |
| Southwark | 0.2 | -0.8 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| Westminster | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Hammersmith | 0.1 | 0 | -0.6 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| Bexley | 0.2 | 0.3 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.125 |



Comparison of Camden with Barnet
Camden has:
103,000 Councill Tax properties, compared to Barnet's 140,000
Fewer proner
Fewer properties and lower total collectable amoun
Mores senior posts and more team leaders
Resilience form ranager and team leader absence
Smaller spans of control



Comparison of Enfield with Barnet
Enfield has:
121,400 Council Tax properties, compared to Barnet's 140,000 Fewer propeties and lower total collectable amount More senior posts and more team leaders
Resilience for manager and team leader absenc
Smaller spans of control
Specialist teams, ie. Business Rates Team
A structure designed to maximise collection performance

Waltham Forest


Comparison of Waltham Forest with Barnet
Waltham Forest has:

## 98,000 Council Tax properties, compared to Barnet's 140,000

Fewer properties and lower total collectable amount
More senior posts and more team leaders
Resilience for manager and team leader absence
Smaller spans of control
A structure designed to maximise collection performance

Council Tax Collection Rates

|  | 2011-12 | $2010-11$ \% | $\begin{gathered} 2009-10 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2008-9 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2007-8 } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 2006-7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barnet | 96 * | 95.6 * | 96.3 | 96.3 | 95.78 * | 94.6 * | * Dip in performance due to major system conversions |
| Camden | 96.7 | 96.5 | 96 | 95.4 | 96 | 95.7 |  |
| Enfield | 95.8 | 95.7 | 95.7 | 95.6 | 95.5 | 95.4 |  |
| Waltham Forest | 95.7 | 95.8 | 95.1 | 94.6 | 94.2 | 94.5 |  |

Source: CLG website http://www.communities.gov.uk/localgovernment/localregional/localgovernmentfinance/statistics/counciltax/collectionrates/

Current back office tasks have been analysed, and the total number of hours required per day for all tasks has been calculated, for managers and officers. Each . task has been analysed to doteremine whether the volumes of work (measured in hours/day) will change in the proposed
structure, and also whether the responsibility for each task can be partially or wholly transferred from Manager to officer.

| Volume of work |  | Current Structure |  | Proposed Structure |  | Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Responsibility | Manager Hours/day | Officer | Manager | Officer |  | Officer |
|  |  |  | Hours/day |  | Hours/day | Hours/day | Hours/day |
| No Change | No Change | 5.58 | 22.03 | 5.58 | 22.03 | 0 | 0 |
| No Change | Change | 6.7 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 5.8 | -5.1 | 5.7 |
| Change | No Change | 13.77 | 112.5 | 6.3 | 80.75 | -7.47 | 31.75 |
| Change | Change | 11 | 2 | 2.7 | 13.2 | -8.3 | 11.2 |
| Senior Manager work |  | 0 | 0 | 3.3 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 37.05 | 136.63 | 19.48 | 121.78 | -17.57 | -14.85 |
| F/T Equivalent number of officers/managers |  | 5.1 | 19.0 | 2.7 | 16.9 | 2.4 | 2.1 |

Comparisons of workloads between existing Back office structure and proposed structure.

| Current Structure | 4 Managers | 26 Officers | Proposed Structure (Back office) | 1 Manager | 13 Officers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated work currently carried out in the back office (hours per day) | 37 | 136 | Estimated work to be carried out in the back office (hours per day) | 19.48 | 121.78 |
| FTE managers/officers required for back nffice | 5.1 | 18.9 | FTE managers/officers required for back office | 2.7 | 16.9 |
| Front Office (phones) | 0 | 7 | Front Office (phones \& L1/L2 corres) | 0 | 13 |
| Total (Front \& back | 5.1 | 25.9 | Total (back office) | 1 | 13 |
| Under-resourced | 1.1 | -0.1 | Under-resourced by: | 1.7 | 3.9 |

Under the current structure:
The four managers do the equivalent of 1.275 days' work in one day
The 26 officers/assiatants do the equivalent of 1 day's work in one day
Why?

| Existing back office (officer functions) | 136.63 | hours per day |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| The time required for back office functions is <br> reduce (by work moved to front office) to : |  |  |
| But the workload will be increased by task previously carried | 102.78 | hours per day |
| out hy team managers by: |  |  |

But the workload will be increased by task previously carried 19 hours per day
out by team managers by:

So, for the officers remaining in back-office operations, their workload will increase by $30 \%$, and
approximately $60 \%$ of this increased workload will be that work currently carried out by team managers.

|  | Existing Back office (officer) workload | Proposed Back office (officer) workload |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original work carried out | 100 | 100 |
| Increase in workload due to staff transfer to | 0 | 12 |
| Increase in workload due to reduction in Team managers from 4 to 1 | 0 | 18 |


| Breakdown of Back-Office (Officer) workload under proposed structure |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | "Increase in workload due to reduction in Team managers from 4 trictease in workload due to staff transfer to Front Office <br> ㅁOriginal work carried out |




