Costs and Consequences of a One Barnet Commissioning Council

Barnet Alliance for Public Services

July 2012

Dexter Whitfield

Director, European Services Strategy Unit,
Adjunct Associate Professor,
Australian Workplace Innovation and Social Research Centre,
University of Adelaide



What is Commissioning

- Separate client and contractor functions
- Options appraisals, business cases and procurement across the Council.
- Create contractor markets and drive competition between public, private and voluntary sectors.
- Use of public money to support markets.
- Manage increased risks in tendering & contracting.
- Commercialise in-house services.
- Transfer staff between public, private and voluntary sector companies as contracts are won or lost.



Commissioning stages

- Analysis: assess needs, establish priorities and service requirements.
- Planning: review services, appraise options, prepare business cases and prepare specification.
- Procurement: manage tendering process when required.
- Managing: manage and monitor contracts with regular scrutiny review.



Barnet's Commissioning record

- No service reviews or in-house bids
- 'High level' Options Appraisals
- Business cases omitted key evidence
- Value for money unproven
- Procurement contractor interests
- Risks ignored or understated
- Superficial Equalities Impact Assessments
- No assessment of economic and social impacts
- High cost of management consultants
- Minimal concern for staff, jobs and local economy
- Poor Contract management



Contract performance

Large multi-service long-term contracts Nationally:

- 4 contracts terminated
- 4 major reductions in scope, services returned in-house
- 3 with significant problems
- = 11 of 44 contracts 25% failure rate



Barnet Council's new structure

Elected Members Strategic Commissioning Board Adults & Communities Assurance: Children's Services Schools Support and Commissioning Customer Services Group Street Scene Development Services Barnet Group



Consequences for service users

- Market forces determine quality of services.
- Contracts result in loss of flexibility and responsiveness.
- Getting answers will be more tortuous.
- Blame game as contractors shift responsibility for delays and failures.
- Residents treated as individual 'customers
- Community involvement restricted as procurement extended.
- Increased use of 'commercial confidentiality'.
- Spending on procurement, contract management and profits instead of frontline service delivery.



Consequences for Council staff

- Most staff transferred to private or voluntary sector contractors.
- Redundancies at transfer.
- Contractors set staffing levels and terms and conditions.
- Reduced and fragmented implementation of equalities.
- Fragmentation of trade union organisation and representation.

The consequences for Councillors

- More power to officers.
- Difficult to determine value for money.
- Loss of flexibility to respond to Barnet's changing community needs and economic conditions.
- More difficult to ensure implementation of Council's corporate policies by private contractors.
- Backbench Members limited knowledge of contractor performance.

Read Costs and Consequences of a One Barnet Commissioning Council http://www.european-services-strategy.org.uk/news/2012/commissioning-council-plan-exposed/



There is an alternative

- Do not award contracts and retain in-house provision.
- Bi-annual service reviews with improvement plans.
- Engage residents, community organisations, staff and trade unions in design and planning of services.
- Treat citizens as service users, not customers.
- Full assessment of policy impacts.
- Value quality of inputs and processes in service delivery.
- Rigorous monitoring, reporting and reviewing.
- Flatter management structures and team working.
- Arms length companies could be returned in-house.
- Role of consultants minimised.

